

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,373		05/19/2005	Hidetaka Oka	EL/2-22798/A/CGJ 130/PCT	9344
324	7590	09/28/2006		EXAMINER	
012.101		Y CHEMICALS CO	JOHNSON, CONNIE P		
PATENT	PATENT DEPARTMENT				
540 WHI	TE PLAIN	IS RD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX	2005		1752		
TARRYT	TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1
>

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/535,373	OKA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Connie P. Johnson	1752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABAND(ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	lay 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ▼ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-6 are subject to restriction and/or elements. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/23/2005</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nil Datenal Patent Application					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		\sim					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060918

Application/Control Number: 10/535,373

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3 and 6, drawn to a photosensitive resin, classified in class 430, subclass 945.
 - II. Claims 4-5, drawn to a solder resist process, classified in class 29, subclass 338.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, such as the process of making a color filter
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,373

Art Unit: 1752

4. A telephone call was made to Joseph Suhadolnik on 08/08/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Connie P. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-7758. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4:00pm Monday thru Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,373

Art Unit: 1752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cover P. Silver 9/18/07 Connie P. Johnson

Examiner Art Unit 1752

> SIN LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER